Bioscience Discovery, 17(2):164-169, April - 2026

Open Access, Peer Reviewed, Referred Research Journal

Print & Online available on https://jbsd.in

ISSN: 2229-3469 (Print); ISSN: 2231-024X (Online)

Review Article

Impact of Pollution on the Conservation of Flora and Fauna: An Integrative Review

Pooja I. Khaparde

Department of Zoology, Ramkrishna Paramhansa Mahavidyalaya, Dharashiv. Maharashtra, INDIA. 413 501
Email- poojaikhaparde@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: 10-02-2026,
Revised: 16-03-2026,
Accepted: 20-03-2026

Keywords: Pollution, Biodiversity, Conservation

Abstract

Pollution has emerged as a major, yet often under-integrated, driver of global biodiversity loss, directly undermining the conservation of flora and fauna. Chemical contaminants, including pesticides, heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, and microplastics, alter organism physiology, behavior, and reproduction, reducing survival, fecundity, and population viability across taxa. Large-scale syntheses show pollution is among the top global drivers of species decline and can exert the strongest negative effects on soil and invertebrate biodiversity, which underpin ecosystem services essential for conservation success. Air pollutants such as sulfur, nitrogen, ozone, and mercury acidify soils and waters, eutrophy estuaries, bioaccumulate in food webs, and modify community composition, with cascading impacts on plants, invertebrates, fish, and birds. Light, noise, and electromagnetic pollution further disrupt migration, communication, habitat use, and breeding behavior in wildlife, threatening long-term population persistence. Pollution stress on riparian and medicinal plants reduces diversity, alters anatomical and chemical traits, and can impair their ecological and socio-economic functions. Effective biodiversity conservation, therefore, requires stringent pollution regulation, ecotoxicological monitoring, and the use of pollution-tolerant and phytoremediating species in restoration, and cross-scale policies that treat pollution control as a core conservation tool rather than a separate environmental objective.

INTRODUCTION

Pollution encompasses chemical contaminants (nutrients, pesticides, metals, pharmaceuticals, plastics), air pollutants (sulfur and nitrogen compounds, ozone, particulates, mercury), and sensory pollutants (noise, artificial light), all of which have documented impacts on biodiversity (Arora, S. 2025; Aulsebrook L *et al.,* 2020).

Chemical pollution is now considered a global change driver contributing substantially to biodiversity loss, yet it remains under-integrated in mainstream biodiversity research and policy. Red List assessments suggest that pollution affects thousands of species and is the main threat for a non-trivial fraction of them (Arora, S. 2025). In running waters, nutrient enrichment, industrial discharges, and altered pH create strong pollution gradients that restructure zooplankton communities, shifting from larger taxa (e.g., arthropods) in lightly disturbed reaches to small rotifers and ciliates in heavily polluted reaches, with clear consequences for food webs and energy flow. Long-term studies in lakes similarly show community shifts in benthic invertebrates linked to declining water quality, increased biological oxygen demand, and reduced dissolved oxygen, signaling ecosystem degradation under human pressure. In riparian zones, industrial effluents reduce plant density, frequency, and diversity, while a few tolerant species persist via anatomical and physiological adaptations such as increased root aerenchyma and vascular tissues, highlighting both floristic loss and the emergence of pollution-tolerant assemblages with potential for phytoremediation (Barton M *et al.,* 2023)

Acidification and eutrophication of surface waters reduce aquatic biodiversity and alter biogeochemical processes, while mercury bioaccumulates through food webs (Burt C, *et al.,* 2023). In forests and terrestrial habitats, nitrogen deposition modifies plant communities in grasslands, bogs, and alpine systems, and widespread soil acidification in forests is likely to alter composition and function over the long term. Ozone reduces photosynthesis in many plant species, with chronic sublethal effects that accumulate over time. Across birds globally, field studies show that most examined species exhibit at least one trait negatively correlated with air pollution, including reduced reproductive output, molecular damage, lower survival, and altered foraging and signaling, although some evidence of adaptation exists in a few taxa. At the population scale, large-scale econometric analyses in the United States indicate that ozone regulations have had substantial conservation co-benefits, reversing portions of long-term bird declines and averting the loss of an estimated 1.5 billion individuals, roughly 20% of current totals (Dominoni D *et al.,* 2020). These results demonstrate that reducing air pollutants can yield quantifiable gains for fauna conservation.

Noise and light (sensory) pollution

Sensory pollutants are increasingly recognized as important but historically neglected conservation threats. Artificial light at night affects migratory animals at multiple spatial scales, disrupting orientation, timing, and movement and posing risks not only for nocturnal species but also for taxa active during the day. Broad reviews of sensory ecology identify three main perceptual mechanisms—masking, distraction, and misleading—through which anthropogenic noise and light interfere with animal information processing, communication, and decision-making, cascading into altered survival and reproduction. A global systematic map documents almost 1,800 studies on anthropogenic noise impacts on biodiversity, with strong evidence that transportation and industrial noise can change behaviour, physiology, communication, and space use across many taxa, although major gaps remain for invertebrates, amphibians, reptiles, and ecosystem-level effects (Fröhlich A *et al.,* 2025). Urban studies show that noise, light, and human presence strongly correlate with forest habitat degradation; however, much of their effect on birds and bats is mediated through loss of habitat area and structural features (deadwood, cavities, epiphytes), indicating that sensory stressors and habitat change interact in driving biodiversity decline (Gatasheh M, *et al.,* 2025).

Mechanisms Linking Pollution to Conservation Outcomes

Pollution affects flora and fauna through multiple, interacting mechanisms spanning individual physiology to ecosystem processes.

Physiological and reproductive disruption

Many pollutants, including pesticides, endocrine-disrupting chemicals, metals, and pharmaceuticals, target reproductive physiology, gamete quality, and hormonal regulation in wildlife, reducing fecundity, altering sexual communication, and impairing parental care. Such reproductive impacts can reduce both the number and quality of offspring, influencing population growth rates and increasing extinction risk. Pollution can also lower offspring viability via epigenetic changes, mismatched reproductive timing relative to resource peaks, and disruption of sexual selection, for instance, by degrading visual or chemical mating signals (Liang Y *et al.,* 2020). In birds, exposure to airborne contaminants is associated with DNA damage, impaired reproduction, and reduced survival. In plants, chronic ozone exposure decreases photosynthesis and growth, while nitrogen deposition and soil acidification alter nutrient availability, root–mycorrhizal relationships, and competitive interactions, leading to long-term shifts in community composition (Burt C *et al.,*2023).

Behavioural and ecological changes

Sub-lethal contaminant exposure frequently alters animal behaviour, with implications far beyond the affected individuals. Metals, pesticides, and pharmaceuticals at environmentally realistic concentrations can modify foraging, predator avoidance, social interactions, and risk-taking behaviour, thereby changing interaction strengths within communities and reshaping ecological networks. Behavioural responses can be both positive and negative, can vary within individuals over time, and can scale up to population and community changes as indirect effects propagate through food webs. Sensory pollutants further modify behaviour by masking crucial signals, distracting animals from key tasks, or misleading them into ecological traps, such as attraction to artificial lights that increase collision risk or predation (Lovett G, *et al.,* 2009).

Community composition, habitat quality, and ecosystem functions

Across ecosystems, pollution tends to drive community simplification, favouring tolerant, often generalist or synanthropic species at the expense of sensitive, specialist taxa (Mercan D, 2025). National-scale airborne environmental DNA surveys show that anthropogenic landscapes frequently maintain or even elevate local species richness, but communities are dominated by species associated with human environments, including invasive taxa, masking underlying losses of native biodiversity and functional diversity. In freshwater and riparian systems, pollution reduces plant and invertebrate diversity and abundance, with a few tolerant taxa, both flora and fauna becoming dominant. These structural changes affect critical ecosystem functions, including nutrient cycling, primary production, decomposition, and trophic regulation. At larger scales, pollution contributes to the breakdown of the "web of life": loss of pollinators under pesticide and air pollution stress threatens plant reproduction and food security; contamination and acidification of surface waters alter fish and amphibian communities; and bioaccumulation of toxic compounds like mercury and persistent organic pollutants harms top predators (Mirametova N *et al.,* 2020).

Evidence Synthesis: Key Pathways of Impact
Pollution Pathways and Conservation-Relevant Impacts

Pollution type & pathway	**Primary effects on flora**	**Primary effects on fauna**	**Citations**
Nutrient & chemical pollution in waters	Shifts in aquatic plant and microbial communities, altered primary production	Zooplankton and benthic invertebrate community restructuring; fish and higher trophic impacts	(Arora, S. 2025) (Mercan D, 2025)
Industrial and riparian pollution	Declining plant density and diversity; selection for tolerant species with anatomical adaptations	Reduced habitat quality and food availability for herbivores and dependent fauna	(Arora, S. 2025) (Barton M, *et al.,* 2023)
Acidification & eutrophication (air–water link)	Changes in aquatic and riparian vegetation; altered nutrient cycling	Lethal acidity for aquatic organisms; mercury accumulation through food webs	(Burt C, *et al.,*2023)
Nitrogen deposition & ozone	Altered plant community composition; reduced photosynthesis; forest soil acidification	Indirect impacts via habitat and food changes; potential effects on herbivores and detritivores	(Burt C, *et al.,*2023) (Mirametova N, *et al.,* 2020)
Toxic air pollutants & particulates	Deposition of heavy metals on leaves and soils	Reduced bird fitness and survival; molecular damage and reproductive impacts	(Burt C, *et al.,*2023) (Mirametova N, *et al.,* 2020)
Endocrine disruptors & complex chemical mixtures	Potential effects on plant–microbe interactions and growth	Disrupted reproductive physiology, gamete function, sexual communication, and parental care	(Arora, S. 2025) (Liang Y *et al.,* 2020)
Pharmaceuticals & behavioural toxicants	Subtle effects on plant-associated microbial communities	Altered behaviour (foraging, risk responses, social interactions) affecting population dynamics	(Saaristo M *et al.,* 2018) (Liang Y *et al.,* 2020)
Noise pollution	Possible effects on plant pollination and seed dispersal via altered animal behaviour	Widespread changes in behaviour, physiology, communication, and space use across taxa.	(Saaristo M, *et al.,* 2018) (Fröhlich A, *et al.,* 2025)
Light pollution	Disruption of plant phenology and pollination interactions	Altered migration, navigation, reproduction, and predator–prey interactions in migratory and resident species	(Lovett G, *et al.,* 2009) (Sigmund G, *et al.,* 2023)

Implications for Conservation of Flora and Fauna

Pollution as a central but under-recognized conservation driver

Pollution is now ranked among the top global drivers of biodiversity loss, yet its effects are often under-represented in conservation planning relative to land-use change and climate change. Even where protected areas are established, trans boundary air and water pollution, as well as diffuse chemical inputs, can penetrate reserves and degrade their conservation value. Many conservation assessments that ignore pollution likely underestimate extinction risks, particularly for freshwater taxa, pollinators, and species in downwind or downstream positions relative to industrial and agricultural sources.

(Arora, S. 2025) (Burt C, *et al.,* 2023).

Complex interactions with other stressors

Pollution rarely acts in isolation. It interacts with climate change, habitat loss, invasive species, and overexploitation, often producing synergistic effects greater than the sum of individual stressors. For example, combined exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals and elevated temperatures can produce much stronger negative impacts on fish fecundity than either stressor alone, suggesting that future warming could exacerbate pollution damage to reproductive success. Sensory pollutants co-occur with urban habitat fragmentation; while noise and light directly affect behaviour, much of their biodiversity impact in cities is mediated through associated habitat degradation and loss of structural complexity (Arora, S. 2025) (Lovett G *et al.,* 2009). These interactions complicate attribution but underscore the need for integrative management approaches that address multiple stressors simultaneously.

Consequences for ecosystem services and human well-being

Pollution-driven biodiversity loss undermines ecosystem services essential to human societies. Damage to bird populations reduces pollination, seed dispersal, insect control, and nutrient transfer services, yet these conservation co-benefits are rarely incorporated into cost–benefit analyses of air pollution regulation (Dominoni D *et al.,* 2020). Declines in pollinators under the combined pressure of pesticides and air pollutants threaten agricultural productivity and food security (Singh R, *et al.,* 2023). Degraded freshwater systems deliver poorer water quality, lower fisheries yields, and reduced recreational and cultural values. Microbial and invertebrate community shifts alter nutrient cycling and soil fertility, affecting both wild flora and agroecosystems. These feedbacks highlight that pollution control is not only a conservation priority but also a foundation for sustainable development.

Mitigation Strategies and Conservation Responses

Given the pervasive impacts of pollution on flora and fauna, conservation must integrate both pollution reduction and adaptation strategies.

Regulatory controls and critical loads

Adoption of ecologically relevant standards, such as critical loads—quantitative thresholds of pollutant deposition below which harmful ecological effects are unlikely, is recommended for sulfur, nitrogen, and other pollutants affecting ecosystems. Air pollution regulations targeting ozone precursors demonstrate that strong emission controls can deliver substantial biodiversity benefits, as seen in the large bird population gains associated with U.S. ozone regulation. Effective control of emerging contaminants, including persistent chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and microplastics, will require updated regulatory frameworks that incorporate current scientific understanding of bioaccumulation, mixture toxicity, and long-range transport (Sordello R *et al.,* 2020)

Technological and restoration approaches

Advanced wastewater treatment (e.g., ozonation, activated carbon) can markedly reduce endocrine disruptors and other contaminants before discharge, protecting downstream aquatic communities and dependent riparian flora and fauna. Pollution-tolerant plant species with demonstrated anatomical adaptations in contaminated riparian systems provide opportunities for phytoremediation and restoration of degraded habitats, though caution is needed to avoid promoting invasive species. Ecological restoration techniques such as reforestation, wetland rehabilitation, and bioremediation can help reverse some pollution-induced habitat degradation and re-establish ecosystem functions and native biodiversity (Torrance A, & Tomlinson B, 2025).

Sensory pollution management

Mitigation of light pollution through limiting unnecessary lighting, shielding fixtures, and adjusting intensity and spectrum can reduce impacts on migratory species and nocturnal communities. Noise control via sound barriers, curfews, and spatial planning can help preserve acoustic environments critical for wildlife communication and habitat use. Identification of sensory danger zones, where critical life-history activities overlap with intense noise or light, can guide targeted interventions in key conservation areas (Lovett G, *et al.,* 2009).

Integrating ecotoxicology, sensory ecology, and conservation biology

Addressing the conservation consequences of pollution requires interdisciplinary collaboration among ecologists, ecotoxicologists, sensory ecologists, environmental chemists, and social scientists. Behavioural endpoints and sensory mechanisms offer sensitive early-warning indicators of pollutant effects and can bridge individual-level responses to population and community outcomes (Lovett G, *et al.,* 2009). Long-term, field-based, multi-species studies are particularly needed to capture realistic exposure scenarios, species interactions, and evolutionary responses, including potential adaptations and persistent vulnerabilities (Mirametova N *et al.,* 2020).

Policy, monitoring, and global coordination

Comparative analyses of national and international pollution laws underscore the importance of comprehensive, enforceable regulations, robust monitoring systems, and coordinated international frameworks to manage both legacy and emerging contaminants. Modern biomonitoring tools such as environmental DNA, bioindicator species, and molecular biomarkers improve the detection of biodiversity change and pollution impacts at large scales (Tournayre O *et al.,* 2025). Integrating such data into conservation planning and environmental impact assessments can ensure that pollution is explicitly considered when designing protected areas, restoration projects, and land-use policies (Tournayre O *et al.,* 2025).

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite growing recognition of pollution as a core conservation issue, significant knowledge gaps persist. Detailed quantitative studies of pollution impacts on biodiversity across complex ecosystems remain rare due to the difficulty of disentangling multiple, co-occurring drivers. Most empirical work focuses on a narrow subset of pollutants (nutrients, pesticides, a few metals) and taxa (vertebrates, common birds, some pollinators), leaving many contaminants, invertebrate groups, plants, and microorganisms under-studied (Mirametova N *et al.,* 2020). Long-term, multi-generational studies are needed to understand evolutionary responses, adaptive potential, and the persistence of sub-lethal effects on reproduction and behaviour. There is also a need to better quantify how pollution interacts with climate change, land-use change, and invasive species to shape extinction risk and ecosystem resilience. Finally, more work is required to translate scientific understanding into operational conservation tools, including inclusion of pollution in species threat assessments, development of pollution-sensitive conservation indicators, and full valuation of the ecosystem service co-benefits of pollution control (Arora, S. 2025) (Dominoni D *et al.,* 2020).

Conclusion

Pollution in its chemical and sensory forms is a pervasive, multifaceted driver of biodiversity loss that directly and indirectly threatens the conservation of flora and fauna across ecosystems. By disrupting physiology, behaviour, reproduction, community structure, and ecosystem processes, pollution erodes the capacity of species and ecosystems to persist in a rapidly changing world. Yet evidence also shows that effective pollution regulation, technological innovation, habitat restoration, and sensory management can yield substantial conservation gains. Future conservation strategies must therefore treat pollution control as a central pillar, alongside habitat protection and climate action, and must leverage interdisciplinary science to anticipate, detect, and mitigate pollution impacts on the web of life.

REFERENCES

Arora, S. 2025. Review Article: Ecotoxicological Impacts of Pollution on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Health in the Anthropocene. *J. Res. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.*, **4** (3): 136-142. https://doi.org/10.55544/jrasb.4.3.14.

1. **Aulsebrook L, Bertram M, Martin J, Aulsebrook A, Brodin T, Evans J, Hall M, O'Bryan M, Pask A, Tyler C, & Wong B, 2020.** Reproduction in a polluted world: implications for wildlife. *Reprod.,* **160**(2): R13–R23. https://doi.org/10.1530/rep-20-0154.
2. **Barton M, Henderson I, Border J, & Siriwardena G, 2023.** A review of the impacts of air pollution on terrestrial birds. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **873**: 162136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162136.
3. **Burt C, Kelly J, Trankina G, Silva C, Khalighifar A, Jenkins-Smith H, Fox A, Fristrup K, & Horton K, 2023.** The effects of light pollution on migratory animal behavior. *Trends Ecol. Evol.,* **38**(4): 355-368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.12.006.
4. **Dominoni D, Halfwerk W, Baird E, Buxton R, Fernández-Juricic E, Fristrup K, McKenna M, Mennitt D, Perkin E, Seymoure B, Stoner D, Tennessen J, Toth C, Tyrrell L, Wilson A, Francis C., Carter N, & Barber J, 2020.** Why conservation biology can benefit from sensory ecology. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **4:** 502–511. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1135-4.
5. **Fröhlich A, Bidziński K, Jankowska-Jarek M, Swearer S, & Ciach M, 2025.** Noise, light pollution, and human presence predict forest habitat degradation: A key agent in biodiversity decline. *Ecol. Appl*: a publication of the Ecological Society of America, **35(**7): e70131. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.70131.
6. **Gatasheh M, Abbas T, Shaffique S, Kang S, Lee I, & Shah A, 2025.** Comparative analysis of biodiversity, physiology, and anatomical adaptations in riparian flora exposed to industrial pollution stress. *Sci. Rep.*, **15** (3006) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-87396-8.

Liang Y, Rudik I, Zou E, Johnston A, Rodewald A, & Kling C, 2020. Conservation co-benefits from air pollution regulation: Evidence from birds. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **117**: 30900 - 30906. https://doi.org/10.3386/w27415.

Lovett G, Tear T, Evers D, Findlay S, Cosby B, Dunscomb J, Driscoll C, & Weathers K, 2009. Effects of Air Pollution on Ecosystems and Biological Diversity in the Eastern United States. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci*. **1162**: 99-135. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04153.x.

Mercan D, 2025. Approaches to Pollution in Lake Ecosystems, the Library of Life: An Example From Uzungöl (Trabzon, Türkiye). *ECHO*, **18**: e70014 . https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.70014.

Mirametova N, Kurbanazarova A, Sapaeva R, & Kurbanbaeva Z, 2020. Pollution and Its Ecological Consequences: Strategies for Mitigating Environmental Damage. *AJBSPI* **04**(10):17-35 https://doi.org/10.37547/ajbspi/volume04issue10-03.

Saaristo M, Brodin T, Balshine S, Bertram M, Brooks B, Ehlman S, McCallum E, Sih A, Sundin J, Wong B, & Arnold K, 2018. Direct and indirect effects of chemical contaminants on the behaviour, ecology, and evolution of wildlife. *Proc Biol Sci* **285**(1885): 20181297. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1297.

Sigmund G, Ågerstrand M, Antonelli A, Backhaus T, Brodin T, Diamond M, Erdelen W, Evers D, Hoffmann T, Hueffer T, Lai A, Torres J, Mueller L, Perrigo A, Rillig M, Schaeffer A, Scheringer M, Schirmer K., Tlili A, Soehl A, Triebskorn R, Vlahos P, Berg C, Wang Z, & Groh K, 2023. Addressing chemical pollution in biodiversity research. *Glob. Change Biol.*, **29:**3240–3255. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16689.

Singh R, Verma A, & Prakash S, 2023. The web of life: Role of pollution in biodiversity decline. *Int. J. Fauna Biol. Stud*., **10**(3):49-52. https://doi.org/10.22271/23940522.2023.v10.i3a.1003.

Sordello R, Ratel O, De Lachapelle F, Léger C, Dambry A, & Vanpeene S, 2020. Evidence of the impact of noise pollution on biodiversity: a systematic map. *Environ Evid* **9**(20). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-020-00202-y.

Torrance A, & Tomlinson B, 2025. Pollution: The What of Biodiversity Loss. 11 *Tex. A&M J. Prop. L*. **643**. https://doi.org/10.37419/jpl.v11.i4.4.

Tournayre O, Littlefair J, Garrett N, Brown A, Allerton J, Cristescu M, & Clare E, 2025. Contrasted effects of human pressure on biodiversity in the UK: a multi-taxonomic assessment using airborne environmental DNA. *BioRxiv* 2025.05.01.651649; https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.01.651649.

Cite this article

Pooja I. Khaparde. **2026**. Impact of Pollution on the Conservation of Flora and Fauna: An Integrative Review. *Bioscience Discovery*, **17**(2):164-169.